

Office of the Complaints Commissioner 23 Austin Friars London EC2N 2QP

Tel: 020 7562 5530 E-mail:complaints@frccommissioner.org.uk www.frccommissioner.org.uk

Quality and Service Standards

The Office of the Complaints Commissioner (OCC) is committed to meeting the standards of the Ombudsman Association Service Standards Framework.

(https://www.ombudsmanassociation.org/docs/OA17_Service_Standards_2017_Final.pdf) We show how we do this below.

Accessibility

What the framework says	How does OCC achieve this?
Members service should be free to complainants	We provide a free service.
Members should ensure their procedures are customer focused	We ensure our procedures are customer focused through: • training staff on reasonable adjustments; • Reviewing the content of our website annually; • Our service is confidential and impartial. From April 2019 our procedures will include • analysis of data from the customer satisfaction survey; • Post decision reviews.
Members should work with complainants to understand their needs, in order for complainants to access their services easily	 We provide training to staff on reasonable adjustments; We provide a range of methods for accessing our service – telephone, letters, email and face to face in exceptional circumstances;

	 From April 2019 we will also implement a user satisfaction survey which will ask respondents to rate the ease with which they could access our service.
Members should make reasonable efforts to support access to their services for any user, including working with representatives and others to support complainants through their service, and publish their procedures for doing this.	 We publish our policy on reasonable adjustments. We accept complaints from representatives and advocates acting on behalf of the person affected.
Members should listen to what complainants want from them and ensure they understand their complaint. If a complainant is complaining about an organisation or issue that the member cannot consider complaints about, where possible they should direct the complainant to the relevant Association member, or another organisation who may be able to help	 When a complaint is misdirected to our service, we point the complainant in the right direction. We strive to ensure we fully understand the complaints brought to us and the outcomes sought by complainants. We issue preliminary decisions when we have gathered the evidence required to decide a complaint. This provides a further opportunity to ensure we have properly understood the complaint and for the complainant to comment on our findings and any recommendations we have made. Our published guidance on jurisdiction (the Complaints

Scheme) supports investigative

staff to reach confident decisions about whether we have jurisdiction to investigate

complaints.

Communication

What the framework says	How does OCC achieve this?
Members should clearly explain to service users their process for handling complaints and likely timescales	We publish our service standards and time targets (and will monitor on our performance against them from 2020): Respond to emails within 3 working days; Return telephone calls within two working days; Update complainants every four weeks; Soft correspondence acknowledged within three working days, 95% of telephone calls answered within two working days, 95% of complainants updated at least every four weeks, 75% of investigations completed (i.e. PR issued) within eight weeks and 90% within 16 weeks; Refer complainants to our website which explains the process.
Members should keep service users regularly informed of the progression of their case, and how long things are likely to take	We update complainants every four weeks to inform them of progress.
Members should be accurate in their communications with service users using plain and clear language	 We achieve this through: We have tailored our communications to be Plain English; Regular quality checking of reports (by the CEO and Commissioner); Team meeting briefings/staff training.
Members should let complainants know what they can and cannot do, and, if they are unable to help them explain why	We explain our role in high-level points and what we cannot help with (website, acknowledgement emails and reports).

Members should treat service users courteously, respectfully and with dignity	We ensure that we treat service users with courtesy, respect and dignity through:
Members should explain their role to service users	Our role is clearly explained on our website.
Members should communicate with complainants through a complainant's own chosen method where possible	We currently accept complaints by email, letter, telephone or exceptionally face to face. We respect complainant's choice of communication.

Professionalism

What the framework says	How does OCC achieve this?
Members should ensure that the staff who consider complaints have the relevant knowledge, training and skills to make decisions, or have access to suitable professional advice	 recruitment of suitably qualified staff; induction programmes for new investigators; ongoing staff training; staff participation in OA forums; casework briefings; guidance on jurisdiction and remedies; legal advice where appropriate
Members should deal with complaints in a timely manner, taking into account the complexity of the case.	We publish our service standards and time targets and will monitor and report on them from 2020.
Members should ensure that remedies are appropriate and take account of the impact any identified faults have had on the complainant	 We achieve this through: promoting our views on remedies with the regulators; reviewing compliance with remedy by the regulators;

	casework briefings.
	From April 2019 we will implement:
	post-decision reviews;
	analysis of data from the users
	satisfaction survey;
	 analysing the media impact of
	published decisions and special publications.
	publications.
Members should use the outcomes of	We achieve this through:
complaints to promote wider learning and improvement to the service and the	publication of thematic reviews in our
sector complained about	annual report;providing briefing sessions for
Table to the same and a same	regulators' staff;
	suggesting remedies to address wider
	systemic issues discovered during the
	course of the investigation;
	monitoring regulators compliance with
	suggestions and recommendations.
Members should ensure their record	We analyse and record data breaches.
keeping is accurate and that they hold data securely.	
data securery.	
Members should ensure that if and when	We do this by:
sharing of information is	 providing guidance to staff on
necessary, it is done appropriately.	redacting documents;
	asking the regulators to check for
	confidentiality issues before a report is issued when necessary;
	 Reporting to the ICO if we think a data
	breach has occurred and informing
	service users.
Members should follow their published	We analyse data for our performance The second of
processes when dealing with complaints about their own service, and	against service standards.
<u> </u>	• We analogica when we have no met
they should acknowledge and	
they should acknowledge and apologise for any mistakes they make	 We apologise when we have no met our service standards.
<u> </u>	_
apologise for any mistakes they make Members should actively seek feedback	our service standards. We will achieve this starting April 2019
apologise for any mistakes they make	our service standards.

 sending satisfaction surveys to complainants after we have issued a decision on their complaint;
 sending surveys to the regulators on a biannual basis.

Fairness

What the Framework says	How does OCC achieve this?
Members should work with service users without discrimination or prejudice	 We achieve this through: working to the Nolan Principles – cases are evaluated based on the facts and we are not biased towards either the complainants or the regulators; ongoing training; quality monitoring of casework; From April 2019: our Post-Decision Reviews; the customer satisfaction survey sampling.
Members should make decisions on cases based on their independent and impartial evaluation of the relevant evidence	 We achieve this through: we have a policy on how we deal with conflicts of interests; our internal Investigation manuals; guidance on remedies and jurisdiction published on our website; Casework Briefings; quality checking of our reports; our process for dealing with service complaints; we are not rigid in our approach to complaint handling and engage with complainants throughout the process; our Post-Decision Reviews (from April 2019).
The reasons for decisions should be documented and explained to relevant parties	We issue reports to complainants and

Members should publish information concerning any opportunities that may exist for service users to challenge their decisions	regulators and have a commitment to publish (almost) all our decisions on our website; • We issue internal reports on complex cases; • We document our decision-making process, all case related discussions documenting the decision process; • Reports undergo quality checks. We explain the process for seeking judicial review where appropriate.
Members should make clear to service users their approach to unacceptable behaviour	We provide information on our website setting out our policy on unacceptable behaviour. We also provide internal support to staff on managing unreasonable complainant behaviour.

Transparency

What the framework says	How does OCC achieves this?
Members should publish information about the most senior staff in charge of decisions on complaints within their organisation, including the rules under which members operate	We publish information about the Complaints Commissioner on our website and in our annual report.
Members should have procedures in place to deal with any conflicts of interest around the handling of complaints	We have a policy on dealing with conflicts of interest.
Members should be transparent about their investigation with the relevant service users	 We share as much of the evidence as we can subject to confidentiality restrictions; We to publish (almost) all our decisions on our website.
Members should publish the learning that can be drawn from the complaints they handle in order to drive service improvement across the sector	Our policy is to publish (almost) all our decisions on our website. In addition, we: • publish thematic sections on topical issues in the annual report;

	publish the recommendations which the regulators have accepted and implemented (from April 2019).
Members should provide service users with information explaining the approach they take to handling complaints about their own service	We publish our behaviour, accessibility and service standards on our website.
Members should explain to complainants the procedures in place about what action can be taken if remedies are not implemented by the organisation complained about	 We have procedures in place for following up on remedies and recommendations. We provide information on our website on what the complainant options are if they disagree with the Commissioner's decision.